

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,429	10/23/2000	Chun-Yang Hsiao	JCLA6009	2811
75	90 04/18/2003			
J C Patents INC			EXAMINER	
4 VENTURE suite 250 Irvine, CA 92614			CEGIELNIK, I	JRSZULA M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3712	8
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>				75			
	.—	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
* 4	Advisory Action	09/695,429	HSIAO ET AL.				
•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Urszula M Cegielnik	3712				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.🖂	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(8	a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-23</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.			
9.			4.				
10.	Other:	OERRIS SUPERVISORY	H. BANKS PATENT EXAMINER Y CENTER 3700				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/695,429





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Amendment filed 10 April 2003 appears to raise new issues which would require further consideration and/offsearch. Amended claims 13 and 20 recite a new limitation which was not present in the previous versions of the claims and as such would have to be addressed. Specifically, this new limitation recites "wherein a content of the digital modulation signal is determine by whether or not the ultrasonic signal is exiting with respect to the time periods, so as to recover a content carried by the digital modulation signal".